

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS UBER
TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
OTTOMOTTO, LLC' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT, MOTION TO
STRIKE TS 96, AND DAUBERT MOTION
(DKT. 1514) AND EXHIBITS THERETO**

1 Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”) has filed an Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 2 Portions of Its Opposition to Defendants Uber Technologies, Inc. and Ottomotto, LLC’s Motion
 3 for Summary Judgment, Motion to Strike TS 96, and *Daubert* Motion (Dkt. 1514) and Exhibits
 4 Thereto (“Administrative Motion”).

5 Having considered the Administrative Motion, and good cause to seal having been shown,
 6 the Court **GRANTS** Waymo’s Administrative Motion and **ORDERS** sealed the documents listed
 7 below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed Under Seal	Designating Party
Waymo’s Opposition	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (green highlighting); Defendants (blue highlighting)
Jaffe Declaration	Highlighted Portions	Defendants (blue highlighting)
Exhibit 1 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants; Waymo
Exhibit 3 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Waymo; Defendants
Exhibits 4-6 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants; Waymo (green highlighting)
Exhibit 8 to Jaffe Declaration	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (red highlighting)
Exhibit 12 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants; Waymo
Exhibit 13 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants; Waymo (green highlighting)
Exhibit 14 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants
Exhibit 15 to Jaffe Declaration	Entire Document	Defendants; Waymo (green highlighting)

20
IT IS SO ORDERED.
 21

22 Dated: _____, 2017
 23

24 _____
 25 HON. WILLIAM ALSUP
 26 United States Magistrate Judge
 27
 28